"Exploring New Frontiers in Diabetes, 2008"



Conference logistics

- 210 Attendees
- Partnered with MAADE to provide rooms for their conference and assisted with conference brochure, mailings
- Used audience interactive devices for the first time with excellent feedback
- Provided opportunity for CKD Project partner MPQH to present project
- Presented updates on Montana Cardiovascular Disease and Diabetes Prevention Program

Speaker Topics

- Research Updates
- Using Insulins in 2008: What approaches are best?
- Advancing Therapy in Type 2 Diabetes: 2008
 Case Panel
- Gestational Diabetes An Ongoing Family Challenge
- Preserving Kidney Health New Guidelines

Evaluations

Review of Evals - Copies are on web site and in packets

 We would like your input and ideas for speakers and topics for next year's conference

Exploring New Frontiers in Diabetes, 2008

Thursday, October 23, 2008 Holiday Inn, Bozeman, MT

CONTINUING EDUCATION EVALUATION FORM

1 Poor 2 Fair 3 Average 4 Good 5 Excellent (Use above rating scale)

Research Update

Irl Hirsch, MD, University of Washington

	completion of this program, participants will be able to:	Mean	Min/Max
1.	Differentiate the findings from current trials about the relationships of diabetes control to heart disease.	4.61	2/5
2.	Identify a source of cells that may be able to differentiate into islet cells.	3.50	1/5
3.	Will identify inflammatory factors that influence both diabetes and cardiovascular disease.	4.02	1/5
	e speaker was knowledgeable, organized and effective in the sentation.	4.85	3/5
Tea	aching methods/aides were appropriate and used effectively.	4.73	3/5
<u>Plea</u>	se rate your satisfaction with the following:	Mean	Mean/Max
	se rate your satisfaction with the following: roverall satisfaction with the program.	Mean 4.58	Mean/Max 3/5
You			
You	r overall satisfaction with the program.	4.58	3/5
You Proo	r overall satisfaction with the program.	4.58 4.38	3/5 1/5
You Proo The You	gram objectives were clearly stated. program effectively met stated learning objectives.	4.58 4.38 4.35	3/5 1/5 1/5
You Proo The You The	gram objectives were clearly stated. program effectively met stated learning objectives. r own objectives were met through the content presented.	4.58 4.38 4.35 4.44	3/5 1/5 1/5 2/5

Did you perceive bias in any of the speakers or materials? Yes=6.8% No=93.2%

Comment:

- Each speaker had specific focus/interest
- Yes but justified
- Spoke against Avandia
- Pro Byetta

What will you do differently in your practice/service setting as a result of this training?

- Keep in mind that not all individuals require the same treatment X4
- Pay attention to timing of insulin and teach about timing X3
- Use CGMS with adults
- Emphasize early intervention
- Patient education X2
- Will check on downloading glucometers
- Will not emphasize target control with pts with known CVD X2
- Use of RTS & potential reimbursement

- Think more about glucose monitoring X7
- Earlier detection
- Push improved control with onset
- Share information
- Re examine use of intensive therapy with long term DM
- Ask more question of the patient
- Encourage smoking cessation

What additional information could this program have provided to assist you with patient care?

- Approaches with teens
- · How to detect early
- Address question son islet cells
- Clinical implication and application
- Give examples of new insulins
- Relaxation techniques

Suggestions for future topics: Additional comments about this course:

- Expansion on diabetes management
- Bring Dr. Hirsch back to do updates next year X2
- Depression
- Love the research
- · Motivational interviewing

Please indicate your profession: MD = $\underline{12.7\%}$ RN= $\underline{37.3\%}$ NP= $\underline{11.9\%}$ LPN= $\underline{3.4\%}$ RD= $\underline{12.7\%}$ CDE= $\underline{6.8\%}$ PharmD= $\underline{1.7\%}$ RPh= $\underline{9.3\%}$ Other= $\underline{4.2\%}$

Exploring New Frontiers in Diabetes, 2008

Friday, October 24, 2008 Holiday Inn, Bozeman, MT

CONTINUING EDUCATION EVALUATION FORM

1	Poor	2 Fair	3 Average	4 Good oove scale)	5 Excellent		
Using Insulins in 2008 Irl Hirsch, MD, University of Washington							
At completion of this program, participants will be able to: Mean Min/Max							
1.		echanisms of action			4.02	1/5	
2.	2. Identify three classes of oral agents with different sites of action.				3.4	1/5	
3.	Identify two	targets to follow fo	r adjusting thera	pies for diabetes.	4.69	2/5	
The speaker was knowledgeable, organized and effective in the presentation.				4.74	1/5		
Tea	aching method	s/aides were approp	riate and used e	ffectively.	4.60	1/5	
Advancing Therapy in Type 2 Diabetes: 2008 Nancy Eyler, MD; Christopher Sorli, MD; Justen Rudolph, MD; Jan Hollingworth, RN, BSN, CDE							
	completion of this program, participants will be able to:		Mean	Min/Max			
1.	Name 2 benefits of adding pioglitazone to the patient presented in the case study.	ient presented in	4.64	2/5			
2.	Name 2 reas the case stud	ons to add bedtime dy.	insulin to the pat	ient presented in	4.66	3/5	
3.	Identify 2 rea	sons to add Byetta	to the patient pre	esented	4.73	3/5	
The speakers waere knowledgeable, organized and effective in the presentation.			4.83	3/5			
Tea	aching method	s/aides were approp	riate and used e	ffectively.	4.78	3/5	
Gestational Diabetes – An Ongoing Family Challenge David Pettitt, MD							
	completion of this program, participants will be able to: Identify 2 obstetrical complications associated with GDM	Mean	Min/Max				
1.	·	·			4.55	2/5	
2.	•	or long term cardiom iabetes in utero.	etabolic risk fact	or for children	4.41	1/5	
3.	Recognize al	ternative methods for	or screening and	diagnosing GDM.	4.32	2/5	
The speaker was knowledgeable, organized and effective in the presentation.			4.26	1/5			
Teaching methods/aides were appropriate and used effectively.					4.04	1/5	

Friday, October 24, 2008 Evaluation Form 1

<u>Preserving Kidney Health – New Guidelines</u>

Raimund Pichler, MD

	completion of this program, participants will be able to:	Mean	Min/Max
1.	Describe the best way to measure and follow the level of albuminuria in patients with diabetes over the long term course of follow-up.	4.85	2/5
2.	Recognize the cut off values that have been defined for Chronic Kidney Disease using estimated GFR.	4.86	1/5
3.	Identify antihypertensive agents that have renoprotective effects in diabetes.	4.87	2/5
	e speaker was knowledgeable, organized and effective in the sentation.	4.90	1/5
Tea	aching methods/aides were appropriate and used effectively.	4.74	1/5
<u>Plea</u>	se rate your satisfaction with the following:	Mean	Min/Max
You	r overall satisfaction with the program.	4.70	4/5
Prog	gram objectives were clearly stated.	4.61	4/5
The	program effectively met stated learning objectives.	4.64	4/5
You	r own objectives were met through the content presented.	4.62	4/5
The	length of the program appropriate for material covered.	4.70	2/5
The	atmosphere/facility was conducive to learning.	4.64	3/5
Instr	ructional handouts were appropriate for material covered.	4.48	3/5
1.	Did you perceive bias in any of the speakers or materials? Yes=7.2%	No= <u>89.%</u>	

- Comments:
 - Bias was discussed upfront X2
 - Not sure if the studies should have been presented as fact if not published
 - Bias to type of tx not to brand of meds
 - Fair and well balanced
 - Represented research & clinical observations vs pharmaceutical representation
 - Pro byetta
- 2. What will you do differently in your practice/service setting as a result of this training?
 - More aggressive tx for diabetic pts with kidney disease X7
 - Possibly avoid glargene in GDM
 - Request GFR/microalbumin @ the lab X6
 - Closer monitoring of DMT
 - GDM session very useful X2
 - Insulin use and byetta X2
 - Analyze pros/cons of different tx modalities more often X3
 - Won't get hung up on protocols
 - Patient education X10

 - More comfortable with insulin regimens X5
 - Individualize tx to patient X10

Friday, October 24, 2008 **Evaluation Form** 2

- Get new guidelines for practitioners
- Consider age/duration for pharmaceutical choice X3
- Treat sooner with byetta or insulin X5
- Use TZD less and exanetide more X2
- More aggressive tx upon dx of T2 X3
- 3. What additional information could this program have provided to assist you with patient care?
 - More education tips
 - Studies for final speaker
 - More hands on material X4
 - Creatatine calculator for each participant
 - Heart surgery and diabetes
 - Give away test strips
 - Successful tools from other organizations
 - Using insulin in hospital stays short term
- 4. Additional comments about this course:
 - Handouts needed/didn't match talk X22
 - Seem to use same docs pls dry Drs Gunville, DeSouza or Danisich again
 - Break longer sessions into longer sessions
 - Well done/great/excellent conference X11
 - Great speakers X12
 - Good information X3
 - Great job by staff
 - Round tables difficult X4
 - Too many speakers at dinner
 - Billings for next year is great
 - Need dynamic speaker after lunch X5
 - Successful tools from other organizations
 - Serve diabetic foods
 - Too much data
 - Great exhibits X4
- 5. Suggestions for future topics:
 - Community outreach efforts s/b presented to share ideas
 - · Medication effect on blood glucose
 - Behavioral change X6
 - o (resistance to tx)
 - (motivational interviewing)
 - o (more pt centered)
 - (lifestyle change)
 - More case studies X6
 - (less scientific)
 - Diabetes & gastric bypass surgery X2
 - Weight mgmt/lifestyle change X5
 - Foot care X3
 - Economic challenges
 - Diabetes and psychiatric medication
 - Diet impact on diabetes
 - o (carb counting) X3
 - Insulin pumps X2
 - Pediatric and adolescent care X3
 - Managing complications
 - Neuropathy X2

Friday, October 24, 2008 Evaluation Form 3

- Erectile dysfunction
- New Therapies
- Treating metabolic syndrome X2
- American food supply's contribution to > in diabetes
- Getting insulin for poorer populations
- Would like Registered Dietitian speaker
- Insulin related to hospital stays X2
 - o Insulin stacking
- New GDM guidelines
- Retinopathy
- Patient panel
- Interactive sessions

Please indicate your profession: $MD=\underline{12.5\%}$ $RN=\underline{36.8\%}$ $NP=\underline{11.8\%}$ $LPN=\underline{4.2\%}$ $RD=\underline{16.7\%}$

CDE=<u>2.8%</u> PharmD=1.4% RPh=7.6% Other=<u>4.2%</u>

Friday, October 24, 2008 Evaluation Form